

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,932 12/0		72003 Toshimitsu Konuma		0756-7221	9654
31780 7	590	03/08/2006	EXAMINER		INER
ERIC ROBINSON				NGO, HUYEN LE	
PMB 955 21010 SOUTH	BANK ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
POTOMAC FA				2871	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	HA	,
SU		
SS		
AYS,		
inication.		
erits is		
101(1)		
.121(d). 52.		

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			8,932	KONUMA, TOSH	IIMITSU			
			iner	Art Unit				
		Julie-H	luyen L. Ngo	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- tire to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. atutory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may a nd will expire SIX (6) MO exapplication to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 Novembe	er 2005.					
<i>'</i> —		2b)⊠ This action i						
· ·	Since this application is in condition	•		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
-,	closed in accordance with the practi		·	•)			
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
4)	Claim(s) 6-22 is/are pending in the a	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) 6-22 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		h) objected to	by the Examiner				
10)	Applicant may not request that any object	•		-				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	- ·	•	• •	:FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	'	•	• • •	7 /			
•	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	• •		n received in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Inform	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)								

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 6-22 based on the Response filed on November 14, 2005 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanemoto et al. (US5250214A) in view of Ohkubo et al (US4878742).

With respect to claims 6, 10-12, 16, 17, 21 and 22, Kanemoto et al. teach (Fig. 2) forming a display device comprising:

- a pair of substrates 11/21;
- a liquid crystal layer 15 provided been said pair of substrates and comprising a nematic liquid crystal having positive dielectric anisotropy (col. 5, lines 52-56);
- a pair of orientation films 13/23 provided over adjacent to (near to) and between said pair of substrates respectively;

wherein

Art Unit: 2871

said orientation films have a surface tension of 40 dyne/cm or more (col. 26 lines 61-66);

(Claims 7, 13 and 18)

• each of said orientation films comprises a polyimide.

(Claims 9, 15 and 20)

 a first electrode provided over one of said substrates; and a second electrode provided over the other of said substrates.

However, Kanemoto et al. fail to disclose a display device having spacing between the substrates being less than 3.5 μ m; and said pair of orientation films having antiparallel orientation directions to each other.

Ohkubo et al teach (col. 9, line 14 to col. 10, line 13) forming a display device having spacing between the pair of substrates in the range of 1.5 to $3\mu m$, which is less than 3.5 μm , for substantial extinguishment of the diffraction. The extinguishment of diffraction was uniform and no disturbance based on reverse tilt, etc. was observed.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kanemoto et al. display device with the space between the substrates being less than 3.5 µm, and having orientation directions on said pair of orientation films being antiparallel to each other. Doing so would substantial extinguishment of the diffraction in Kanemoto et al. display device. The extinguishment of diffraction would be uniform and no disturbance based on reverse tilt, etc. will be observed, as taught by Ohkubo et al.

Art Unit: 2871

(Claims 8, 14 and 19)

 It is well known in the art for a display device to function as a reflective—type display device with a reflection layer on a surface of lower substrate for reflecting ambient light

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kanemoto display in view of Ohkubo with a reflection layer on a surface of the lower substrate for reflecting ambient light.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Clark et al (US 5005952 A) disclose a Polarization controller comprises at least one stack of nematic liquid crystal cells arranged such that radiation incident on the stack will pass through each cell in the stack in sequence.

Katagiri et al (US 4714323 A) disclose a ferroelectric liquid crystal device having chiral smectic and nematic liquid crystal composition, and a pair of the thus rubbing-treated plastic substrate were superposed with each other so that their rubbing directions were in parallel with each other and secured to each other with a gap of 1.mu.m.

Art Unit: 2871

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Julie-Huyen L. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2295. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Frank Font can be reached at (571) 272-2415.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 4, 2006

Julie -Huyen L. Ngo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871